UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,466	0/635,466 08/07/2003 Alejandro Wiechers		200207444-1	7655	
	7590 10/16/200 CKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER			
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			RODRIGUEZ, LENNIN R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2625		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			10/16/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/635,466	WIECHERS, ALEJANDRO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LENNIN R. RODRIGUEZ	2625		

LENNIN	I R. RODRIGUEZ	2625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the	ne cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 August 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	ION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with a for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 periods:	1) an amendment, affidavit appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Acono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than S 	ction, or (2) the date set forth i		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	d the corresponding amount o statutory period for reply origit	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance wit filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension there. 			
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the t AMENDMENTS			у арроан оптос а
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);			cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal; and/or	or appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspon NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	nding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See a	ttached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if non-allowable claim(s). 		•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before of because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nt reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was	all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the services of the servi	tatus of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NO See Continuation Sheet.	OT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/SB/13. ☐ Other:	(08) Paper No(s)		
/King Y. Poon/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument regarding "Kemp does not describe a job ticket that 'specifies a finishing device of the print service provider to be used to finish said print job'. Indeed, not only does that portion of Kemp's disclosure fail to mention anything about the finishing device that will be used to finish the print job, that portion of Kemp's disclosure does not even mention job tickets" has been fully considered, in response "examiner would like to point out that in paragraph [0041], it specifically states that the service provider includes various devices fro performing finishing processes, this in combination to paragraph [0069], where the job ticket (which is mentioned and fully disclosed in this paragraph) includes finishing information to the service provider and due to the fact that the service provider is selected by the user and the job ticket contains information about the finishing options it is evident that the finishing device is specified".

Applicant's argument regarding "Kemp also does not actually disclose a module at the designer location 'obtaining updated device configuration information from the print service provider location concerning the specified finishing device" has been fully considered, in response "paragraph [0070], where after receiving the job ticket the service provider will supply information to the designer location regarding to the ability to perform the finishing options, this information being interpreted as updated information about the print service provider status".

Applicant's argument regarding "that Laverty's print ready file is not created 'at the designer location' as required by claim 7. Instead, it is the 'system' that receives the designer's (i.e., customer's) data that creates the file. Furthermore, Laverty does not disclose or suggest that Laverty's print ready file 'encapsulates' both a print job and a job ticket. Instead, Laverty merely indicates that the file is created from 'data,' which presumably comprises the various data that are to be printed (i.e., the print job)" has been fully considered, in response "as can be seen from column 10, line 50 to column 11, line 37, 'system' encompasses the web site that in combination with the user computer being used to access the web site is the 'designer location,' therefore interpreted as being created at the designer location, also with respect to 'encapsulating a print job and a job ticket' it is clear by definition that all the information about the way the job should be created is what a job ticket encompasses, is included along with the print job"..